

FILED - LN

September 24, 2024 2:01 PM
CLERK OF COURT
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
BY: jlq / SCANNED BY: 88 9/24/24

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF

MICHIGAN

JUDGE

1:24-cv-998

Paul L. Maloney,
United States District Judge

NO

CHRISTINE THOMPSON, CHRISTOHER ROBINSON,

V.

JUDGES, DARLENE O' BREIN, ERANE WASHINGTON CAROL KUNKE.

ATTORNEYS, ZANITA CLIPPER, SARA GORMAN, RAJON.

WASHTENAW COUNTY SHEIFF DEPARMENT, SHERIFF JERRY CLAYTON.

WASHTENAW COUNTY PROSECUTORS OFFICE AND PROSECUTORS.

PITTSFEILD TOWNSHIP POLICE DEPARTMENT POLICE OFFICERS STEPHEN
ANDREWS, JIM MAULDIN., HERNYFUSIK. et al,

COMPLAINT

JURY DEMAND

1, THIS ACTION SEEKS MONETARY AND PUNITIVE DAMES
BECAUSE THE WASHTENAW COUNTY SHERIFF DEPARTMENT AND
ATTORNEYS PARTICIPATED WITH PRIVATE PARTIES AND STATE OFFICALS
AND JUDGES AND THE SHERIFF OFFICE WRONGFUL DEPRIVATIONS OF
THE PLAINTIFF PROPERTY. THE PLAINTIFF ALLEGES THAT ALL OF THE
DEFENDANTS ACTED NDER COLOR OF LAW ALSO INTHEIR PRIVATE

PARTIES VIOLATING THE PLAINTIFFS RIGHTS UNDER THE FOURTH AND FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT EIGHTH AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES. ALSO THE PLAINTIFFS BRINGS STATE LAW CLAIMS PURSUANT TO THIS COURT SUPPLEMENT JURISDICTION.

JURISDICTION

2. THIS COURT HAS JURISDICTION OVER PLAINTIFFS FEDERAL CLAIMS UNDER 28. U.S.C.1331 AND THIS COURT HAS JURISDICTION OVER PLAINTIFFS STATE LAW CLAIMS UNDER 28 U.S.C.1367, ALSO IN REM JURISDICTION OVER REAL PROPERTY

VENUE

3. VENUE IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN PURSUANT U.S.C.1391

Chris Robinson

Christine Thompson

7985 RAINTREE DRIVE

YPSILANTI MI 48197

734 417-1458

STATEMENT OF FACTS

CHAPTER ONE 42 U.S, CODE 1985

THE PLAINTIFF CHRISTINE THOMPSON FILED FOR DIVORCE FROM NOW DECEASED EX HUSBAND ROBERT L. THOMPSON ON APRIL 18TH 2022. THROUGH OUT THE DIVORCE PROCESS THE LATE ROBERT L. THOMPSON DESPERATELY TRIED TO CONVINCE THE PLAINTIFF IN THIS CASE TO BUY OUT THE PLAINTIFF SHARE OF THEIR HOME THAT THEY PURCHASED AS HUSBAND AND WIFE IN 1988. THE PLAINTIFF DECEASED EX HUSBAND KNOWINGLY KNEW PRIOR TO THE FINAL JUDGMENT IN THE PLAINTIFF DIVORCE PROCEEDINGS THAT HE WAS GOING TO PASS FORM SO THERFORE THE PLAINTIFF EX HUSBAND WHOLE MISSION BEFORE DYING WAS TO LEAVE THE HOME WHOM HE ONCE SHARED WITH THE PLAINTIFF TO HIS SON THE DEFENDANT IN THIS CASE KEVIN V. THOMPSON. THE PLAINTIFF EX HUSBAND ROBERT L. THOMPSON DIED ON MAY 13TH 2023 ON WEEK AFTER HE PLAINTIFF DIVORCE WAS FINALIZED BY THE COURT. THE PLAINTIFF CONTENDS THAT THE DIVORCE PROCEEDINGS IN THIS CASE BY THE PLAINTIFF EX HUSBAND WAS NOTHING LESS FROM AN MUTAUAL SPLIT IT WAS EMOITIONALLY DISTRESSFUL AND ENDED WITH THE PLAINTIFF EX HUSBAND AND FAMILY FRIEND DEFENDANT ATTORNEY ZANITA CLIPPER AND CLIENT DEFENDANT KEVIN L. THOMPSON. ENGAGED INTO AND CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY TO FORCIBLY TAKE UNLAWFUL POSSESSION OF MY HOME AND GOT AN UNLAWFUL JUDGMENT AGAINST MY HOME BY DEFENDANTS JUDGE DARLENE O' BREIN AND JUDGE ERANE WASHINGTON. THE SAID DEFENDANTS IN THIS CASE WORKS SIDE BY SIDE WITH THE PLAINTIFF EX HUSBAND WHOM HAD STRONG TIES IN OUR COMMUNITY AND THE PLAINTIFF EX HUSBAND WAS NAMED HONORAY SHERIFF IN OUR COMMUNITY BY DEFENDANTS IN THIS CASE

WASHTENAW COUNTY SHERRIF DEPARTMENT. ALSO THE PLAINTIFF EX HUSBAND WAS AN CONFIDENTIAL INFORMANT FOR STATE AND LOCAL FEDERAL AGENCIES AS WELL AS HIS SON DEFENDANT KEVIN L. THOMPSON WHOM HAS BEEN TREATED WITH GREAT LENIENCY IN THE WASHTENAW COUNTY JUDICAL SYSTEM ALL IN DUE TO DEFENDANT KEVIN THOMPSON FATHER PLAINTIFF EX HUSBAND BEING AN HONORAY SHERIFF IN OUR COMMUNITY AND HAVING STRONG TIES WITH LAW ENFORCEMENT AND LOCAL JUDGES AND PROSECUTORS. THE SAID DEFENDANTS IN THIS CASE ATTORNEY ZANITA CLIPPER KEVIN THOMPSON USED THEIR STRONG TIES WITH LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES AND LOCAL JUDGES TO INFRINGE ON ME AND MY SON CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS OF THE 4TH AMENMENT OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION TO BE SAFE AND SECURE IN OUR HOME. EXHIBIT 1. IN THIS CASE IS THE PLAINTIFF JUDGMENT OF DIVORCE THAT STATES THAT THE PLAINTIFF AND HER EX HUSBAND TO SELL THEIR HOME AND SPLIT THE PROCEEDS OF THE HOME UPON SALE. THE PLAINTIFF AND HER NOW DECEASED EX HUSBAND IS IN REVERSE MORTGAGE AND HAS IT SET UP WHERE WITH THE MORTGAGE COMPANY IF ONE OWNER DIES THEY CAN LIVE IN THE HOUSE FOR THE REST OF THEIR LIVES. THE PLAINTIFF CONTENDS THAT THE DEFENDANT KEVIN THOMPSON IN THIS CASE HAS NO SHARE IN HER HOME AND WHICH SHE HOLDS TITLE TO SEE EXHIBIT 2. PLAINTIFF TITLE OF HER HOME AND THE DEATH CERTIFICATE OF EX HUSBAND ROBERT THOMPSON THAT IS RECOREDED WITH THE WASHTENAW REGISTER OF DEEDS. THE PLAINTIFF ALSO CONTENDS THAT SHE AND HER EXHUSBAND HELD THE TITLE AS HUSBAND AND WIFE WITH THE RIGHT TO SURVIORSHIP RIGHTS AND THAT HER HOME AUTOMATICALLY PASSESS TO THE SURVIOR OWNER. SEE EXHIBIT 3. AVOIDING PROBATE IN MICHIGAN JOINT OWNERSHIP IF

YOU OWN JOINTLY PROPERTY WITH SOME ONE ELSE AND THIS OWNERSHIP ENCLUDES THE RIGHT OF SURVIVORSHIP . THEN THE SURVIVING OWNER AUTOMACTICALLY OWNS THE PROPERTY WHEN THE OTHER OWNER DIES NO PROBATE WILL BE NECESSARY TO TRANSFER THE PROPERTY. ALTHOUGH OF COURSE IT WILL TAKE SME PAPERWORK TO SHOW THAT THE TITLE TO THE PROPERTY IS SOLEY HELD BY THE SURVIVING OWNER.THE SAID DEFENDANTS ATTORNEY ZANITA CLIPPER, AND KEVIN THOMPSON HAS UNLAWFULLY SECURED AN EVICTION AND WAS UNLAWFULLY AWARDED MY HOME BY DEFENDANTS JUDGES DARLENE O'BREIN AND ERANE WASHINGTON WHILE ACTING UNDER COLOR OF STATE LAW AT ALL TIMES WITH OUT LAWFUL JURISDICTION TO DO SO. SEE EXHIBIT 4, JUDGMENT AGAINST MY HOME SIGNED BY DEFENDANTS CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE DARLENE'BREIN AND DISTRICT COURT JUDGE ERANE WASHINGTON. IT WAS STATED IN DE YOUNG V. MESLER 373 MICH 499 MICHIGAN LAWS PERTAINING TO SURVIVORSHIP RIGHTS IN DIVORCE PROCEEDINGS AS TO JOINT TENANTS BY HUSBAND AND WIFE. THE PLAINTIFF EX DECEASED HUSBAND WHOM HAD STRONG TIES WITH LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES ALL SAID DEFENDANTS IN THIS CASE HAD A MEETING IN MINDS TO CONSPIRE AMONG EACH OTHER TO GIVE POSSESION OF MY HOME VIOLATING ME AND MY SON CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS OF THE 4TH AMENDMENT TO BE SAFE AND SECURE IN OUR HOME.DEFENDANT JUDGE DARLENE O' BREIN STATED IN EXHIBIT 1,IN THE JUDGEMENT OF DIVORCE PAGE 7 OF 9 IN DISCOVERY CLAUSE DEFENDANT O'BREIN CLEARLY STATED THAT EACH PARTY SHALL EXECUTE ALL NECESSARY DOCUMENTS, CONVEYANCES, ASSIGNMENTS,TRANSFERS OR OTHER INSTRUMENTS NECESSARY FOR THE PURPOSE OF CARRING OUT THE TERMS OF THIS JUDGMENT, IN

ORDER THAT THIS JUDGMENT MAY BECOME A COMPLETE AND FINAL PROPERTY AWARD. IN THE EVENT THAT EITHER OF THE PARTIES HERETO SHALL FAIL OR NEGLECT TO EXECUTE ANY SUCH INSTRUMENTS OR DOCUMENTS OF TRANSFERS, THEN THIS JUDGMENT SHALL BE RECORDED IN THE OFFICE OF THE REGISTER OF DEEDS OR OTHER RECORDING OFFICE IN THE COUNTRY IN WHICH SAID INSTRUMENTS OR CONVEYANCE MUST BE RECORDED AND SHALL STAND IN THE PLACE AND STEAD OF THE REQUIRED CONVEYANCE. NOW THEREFORE THE SAID DEFENDANTS ATTORNEY ZANITA CLIPPER AND PLAINTIFF EXHUSBAND NEVER RECORDED AN DEED OF TRANSFER OF PROPERTY SIGNED BY THE PLAINTIFF MAKING THE SAID DEFENDANT AN CO OWNER OF MY HOME. THE SAID DEFENDANTS JUDGES DARLENE O' BREIN AND ERANE WASHINGTON WITHOUT CLEAR JURISDICTION AND ARE WILLING PARTICIPANTS IN THESE UNLAWFUL SCHEMES THAT HAS CONSTITUTED AN NUMEROUS OF CIVIL RIGHTS VIOLATIONS LEADING TO THE 14TH AMENDMENT 4TH AMENDMENT AND 8TH AMENDMENT OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION. THE SAID DEFENDANTS ATTORNEY ZANITA CLIPPER AND KEVIN THOMPSON WENT AS FAR TO FORGE THE PLAINTIFF EXHUSBAND SIGNATURE ON A WILL SEE EXHIBIT 5. SEE EXHIBIT 6 PLAINTIFF EXHUSBAND TRUE AND ORIGINAL SIGNATURE OF HIS MICHIGAN DRIVERS LICENSE AGAIN DEFENDANT LAWYER ZANITA CLIPPER HAS USED AND ABUSED HER POWERS OF AN ATTORNEY TO GATE KEEP TITLE COMPANIES FROM CLEARING TITLE TO SELL MY HOME UNLESS I GIVE THE DEFENDANT KEVIN THOMPSON HALF OF PROCEEDS OF MY HOME. THE DEFENDANT ZANITA CLIPPER HAS MADE IT VERY HARD FOR ME TO SELL MY HOME WITHOUT TITLE COMPANIES TELLING ME MY HOME NEED TO GO THROUGH PROBATE, EXHIBIT 6. IS A LETTER TO DEFENDANT JUDGE DARLENE 'BREIN EXPLAINING THE UNLAWFUL

BEHAVIOR BY THE SAID DEFENDANTS CLIPPER AND THOMPSON FILED OVER A YEAR AGO THE PLAINTIFF TILL THIS DATE NEVER HEARD A RESPONSE FROM DEFENDANT O'BREIN SHE ENTERED AN UNLAWFUL JUDGMENT AGAINST MY HOME WITH DISREGARD FOR THE LAW THAT WAS INTENDED TO PROTECT THE PLAINTIFF FROM TRYING TO TAKE POSSESSION OF MY HOME ACCORDING TO MCL 700.1101 PROTECTED CODE. THE WILL ALSO LIKE TO ADDRESS ONE OTHER FACTOR THAT DEFENDANT DARLENE O' BREIN KNOWINGLY KNEW ACCORING TO EXHIBIT 1. THE JUDGMENT OF DIVORCE THAT DEFENDANTS ATTORNEY ZANITA CLIPPER AND KEVIN THOMPSON NEVER HAD PROBABLE CAUSE NOR DID SHE HAVE LEGAL JURISDICTION TO MAKE SUCH AN ERRONEOUS DECISION ALLOWING DEFENDANTS CLIPPER, AND THOMPSON TO TAKE POSSESSION OF MY HOME I OWN BY MOTION FILED TO ENFORCE JUDGMENT ON MY HOME. THIS AN ACT OF ABUSE OF DISCRETION BY DEFENDANT JUDGE DARLENE O' BREIN AND ERANE WASHINTON WHILE ACTING UNDER COLOR OF STATE LAW AT ALL TIMES STATED IN THIS COMPLAINT. WHILE IT WAS STATED IN THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION JUDGES CAN BE CONSIDERED TO BE ACTING UNDER COLOR OF LAW WHEN THEY ENGAGE IN ACTS THAT ARE BEYOND THEIR LAWFUL AUTHORITY BUT ARE DONE WHILE PRETENDING TO BE PERFORMING THEIR OFICAL DUTIES. THIS IS COVERED BY TITLE 18, SECTION 242 OF THE U.S.CODE WHICH MAKES IT CRIME TO DEPRIVE SOMEONE OF A RIGHT OR PRIVILEGE PROTECTED BY THE CONSTITUTION OR PRIVILEGE PROTECTED BY THE CONSTITUTION OR LAWS OF THE UNITED STATES. DFEFENDANT JUDGE DARLENE O' BREIN STATED IN EXHIBIT 1. THE JUDGEMENT OF DIVORCE THAT SHE PERPARED AND ADJUCATED ON PAGE 2 OF 9 CONSTRUCTIVE TRUST THAT IF EITHER PARTIES FAIL TO COOPERATE WITH THE TERMS OF THE

JUDGMENT WILL BE SANCTIONED THE PLAINTIFF CONTENTS THAT SHE IS NOT IN VIOLATION STATED IN THE JUDGMENT THE PLAINTIFF EXHUSBAND DIED A WEEK AFTER THE DIVORCE WAS FINAL NOW THERFORE THE PLAINTIFF BY MICHIGAN LAW TAKE FULL POSSESION OF HER MARITAL HOME THAT DEFENDANT JUDGE O' BREIN ONLY BY MICHIGAN LAW CAN DIVIDE THE MARITAL PROPERTY THROUGH DIVORCE PROCEEDINGS. SEE MCL SECTION 552.401 PAGE 7. 8. OF 9 EXHIBIT 1 THE JUDGMENT OF DIVORCE DEFENDANT JUDGE DARLENE O' BREIN STATED HER SELF THAT THE PLAINTIFF AND HER NOW DECEASED EXHUSBAND THAT THIS CASE WILL BE ONLY OPEN ON DISPUT OF UNDISCLOSED PROPERTY THERFORE DEFENDANT JUDGE O' BREIN ACTED OUTSIDE HER JUDICIAL CAPACITY ENTERING AN JUDGMENT AGAINST MY HOME ALONG WITH DEFENDANT JUDGE ERANE WASHINGTON IN CLEAR ABSENCE OF ALL JURSDICTION RENDERING THE JUDGMENT ABSOLUTELY VOID. THE JUDGMENTS BY THE SAID DEFENDANTS O'BREIN AND WASHINGTON HAS ENORMOUSLY INFRINGED ON THE PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS OF THE 4TH 8TH 14TH AMENDMENTS OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION THE RIGHT TO BE SAFE AND SECURE INOUR HOME AND A RIGHT TO BE FREE FROM CRULE AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT ALONG WITH A GUARANTEE TO EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS THIS COUNTRY WITH A RIGHT TO HAVE LIFE LIBERTY AND PROPERTY WITH OUT DUE PROCESS OF LAW. THE PLAINTIFF WILL LIKE FOR THIS COURT TO BE MINFUL THAT DEFENDANTS JUDGES DARLENE O'BREIN AND ERANE WASHINGTON WAS WELL AWARE OF THE PASSING OF MY EXHUSBAND. THAT TO ENTER SUCH JUDGMENT AGAINST MY HOME OUTSIDE THE SCOPE OF THEIR JUDICAL CAPACITIES THEIR NOT EXEMPT FROM JUDICIAL IMMUNITY.THESE SAID CONSTITUTION VIOLATIONS UPON THE

PLAINTIFFS WERE WILLFULLY ORCHESTRATED AND PLANNED OUT BY THE SAID DEFENDANTS IN THIS CASE. DEFENDANT JUDGE O' BREIN JUDGE ERANE WASHINGTON MADE JUDICIAL ADJUCATIONS OUTSIDE OF THEIR DUTIES AND REGLATIONS ALSO SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION TO ALLOW THE DEFENDANTS ATTORNEY ZANITA CLIPPER AND KEVIN THOMPSON TO TAKE POSSESION OF MY HOME WHOM I OWN TITLE TO.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

CHAPTER TWO 42 U.S. CODE 1985

THE PLAINTIFFS IN THIS IN CASE CHRISTINE THOMPSON AND CHRISTOPHER ROBINSON SAFTEY AND LIFE IS IN DANGER DUE ALL INTO THE SAID DEFENDANTS CRIMINAL ACTIONS UPON THE PLAINTIFFS THAT DEFENDANTS LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES OF WASHTENAW COUNTY SHERIFF DEPARTMENT STATION TWO PITTSFEILD TOWNSHIP POLICE DEPARTMENT. THE PLAINTIFFS ARE CONSTANTLY BEING THREATENED AND HARASSED BY THE SAID DEFENDANTS AND ALL HAVE HAD AN MEETING IN MINDS TO TAKE POSSESSION OF OUR HOME AND SELL IT AND DIVIDE THE PROCEEDS WITH THE DEFENDANTS IN THIS CASE

7

KEVIN THOMPSON.THE PLAINTIFF CHRISTINE THOMPSON EXHUSBAND SON AND HIS ATTORNEY ZANITA CLIPPER ALONG WITH DEFENDANTS JUDGES DARLENE O' BREIN AND ERANE WASHINGTON ALL HAD AN MEETING IN MINDS TO AWARD THE SAID DEFENDANTS MY MOTHERS HOME, RECORDS WILL VERIFY LATER IN DISCOVEY THAT THE SAID DEFENDANTS WASHTENAW COUNTY SHEIFF DEPARTMENT ALONG WITH THE SAID DEFENDANTS KEVIN THOMPSON, AND ATTORNEY ZANITA CLIPPER,HAVE COMMITTED MANY CONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATIONS UPON ME AND MY MOTHERS HOME OUT OF RETALIATION DUE TO THE DEFENDANTS HAVING STRONG TIES WITH THE COMMUNITY AND LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES ANF JUDGES. THE SAID DEFENDANTS WASHTENAW COUNTY HAVE THREATENED AND HAVE TRIED TO INTIMIDATE THE SAID PLAINTIFFS BY CONSTANTLY IN THE LAST YEAR ATTEMPT TO TRY TO TAKE POSSESSION OF OUR HOME THREATENING THE PLAINTIFF AND HIS MOTHER IF WE DON'T LEAVE OUR HOME THERE GOING TO ARREST US AND TAKE US TO JAIL THIS HAS BEEN WITHIN THE LAST MONTH OFF AND ON.THE SAID DEFENDANTS WASHTENAW COUNTY SHERRIF DEPARTMENT WERE NOT ACTING OUT IN GOOD FAITH NOR WITH A SEARCH WARRANT BUT ALSO WITH NO PROBABLE CAUSE TO BE COMING TO MY RESIDENCE WITH 5 OR 6 POLICE CARS TO MY HOME WHOME WHILE PLAINTIFF MOTHER HOLDS TO TITLE TO.THE SAID DEFENDANTS WASHTENAW COUNTY SHERIFF DEPARTMENT AND PITTSFEILD POLICE DEPARTMENT ARE VERY WELL FAMILIAR WITH STATE LAWS AND REGULATIONS AND KNOWINGLY KNOW BY STATE AND FEDERAL LAW IN THE STATE OF MICHIGAN YOU CANNOT EVICT ANY HOME ONER WHO HOLD TITLE TO THEIR HOME. THE PLAINTIFF WILL LIKE FOR THIS COURT TO BE MINDFUL THAT HIS MOTHERS HOME IS NOT IN FORECLOSER AND

DOESN'T HAVE AN LANDLORD THE PLAINTIFF MOTHERS HOME IS IN REVERSE MORTGAGE SHE DOESN HAVE TO SELL HER HOME IF SHE CHOSSES NOT TO OR SHE CAN ALSO SELL IT AND PAY OFF THE MORTGAGE. THE SAID DEFENDNTS PITTSFEID POLICE DEPARTMENT SOME THREE WEEKS AGO WITH DEFENDANTS WASHTENAW COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENT MADE AN VERY SERIOUS ATTEMPT TO TRY TO REMOVE THE PLAINTIFF AND HIS MOTHER OUT THEIR HOME WITH OUT PROBABLE CAUSE IN DOING SO NOR WITH A WAARANT WITH VERY LOUD VERBAL THREATS OF POSSIBLE PHYSICAL FORCE WHICH DEFINITELY WOULD HAVE MOE LIKEY ENDED UP WITH THE SAID DEFENDANTS USINF DEADLY FORCE UPON THE PLAINTIFFS. THE PLAINTIFFS CHRISTOPHER ROBINSON AND CHRISTINE THOMPSON HAS A CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT OF THE 4TH AMENDMENT TO BE SAFE AND SECURE IN OUR HOME ALSO TO BE FREE FROM CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT OF THE 8TH AMENDMENT. THE PLAINTIFF CHRISTOPHER ROBINSON LIVES ARE IN GRAVE DANGER ALL IN PART OF THE DEFENDANTS PITTSFEILD TOWNSHIP DWPARTMENT AND DEFENDANT JERRY CLATON SHERIFF AND DEPIITIES WHOM ARE VERY CLOSLEY ASSOCIATED WITH THE PLAINTIFF CHRISTINE THOMSON EXHUSBAND HAS INFRINGED ON THE PLAINTIFF CHRISTOPHER ROBINSON CONSTIUTIONAL RIGHTS OF THE 1ST 4TH 8TH 14TH AMENDMENT OF THE UNITED CONSTITUTION BY COUNTLESS OF UNLAWFUL INCARCERATIONS BY UNFOUNDED AND PROCESSED CHARGES WHICH THE SAID DEFENDANTS WHICH TO SILENCE THE PLAINTIFFS CHRISTOPHER AND HIS MOTHER CHRISTINE THOMPSON. SINCE THE EARLY YEARS OF ATTEMPTING TO ADDRESS THOSE SAID DEPIVATIONS THE PLAINTIFFS HAS BEEN GATE KEPT FROM DOING SO WHICH HAS BEEN ADDRESSED IN THE MOTIONS FILED IN THIS COURT. THE

PLAINTIFF WILL LIKE FOR THIS COURT TO BE BINDFUL OF THE CLAIMS THAT ARE SET FORTH IN THIS CHAPTER TWO ARE WITHIN THE STATUTE LIMITATIONS. IN BREIF DESCRIPTION THE PLAINTIFF HAS RECENTLY DISCOVERED NEWLY FOUNT EVIDENCE OF INNOCENTS. THE PLAINTIFF CHRISTOPHER ROBINSON WAS ACCUSED OF AN RAPING A WHITE WOMAN IN 1989 AND WAS CONVICTED AND SENTENCE TO AN PRISON TERM OF 12 TO 30 YEARS WITH AN B PREFIX OF INCARCERATION ON AN SEPARATE CHARGE TO BE RAN CONSECUTIVE TO PLAINTIFF A PREFIX THE CSC CONVICTION AND SENTENCE SEE EXHIBIT 7. PLAINTIFFS A/B PREFIXES JUDGMENT OF SENTENCES BY THE TRIAL COURT. THE PLAINTIFF UNCONSTITUTIONALLY SERVED OUT THE ENTIRE SENTENCE DUE TO DEFENDANTS WASHTENAW COUNTY PROSECUTORS OFFICE AND PITTSFEILD POLICE DEPARTMENT ALSO DEFENDANTS THE MICHIGAN STATE POLICE. THE PLAINTIFF CHRISTOPHER ROBINSON WAS NEVER LEGALLY CHARGED WITH THE SAID OFFENSE ACCORDING TO EXHBIT 8. THE COMPLAINT FELONY THAT THE PLAINTIFF DISCOVERED IN HIS CASE FILE WHEREAS THE OFFENSE ALLEGEDLY OCCURRED. THE COMPLAINT FELONY WAS NEVER LEGALLY AUTHORIZED TO BRING FORTH ANY CRIMINAL CHARGES UPON THE PLAINTIFF ALSO ACCORDING TO THE CASE FILE THERE WERE NEVER AN WARRANT EVER ISSUED FOR THE PLAINTIFF ARREST TO STAND TRIAL FOR THE ALLEGED OFFENSE. THE PLAINTIFF ALSO DISOVERED EXHIBIT 9. TO THE C PREFIX OF ALLEGEDLY ASSULTING AN POLICE OFFICER DEFENDANT STEPHEN ANDREWS. THE COMPLAINT FELONY WARRANT FELONY ALSO THE INFORMATION FELONY WAS NEVER AUTHORIZED BY THE WASHTENAW COUNTY PROSECUTORS OFFICE THE SAID DOCUMENTS WERE FILED AND RECORDED BY THE CLERK LAWRENCE KESTENBAUM OF WASHTENAW COUNTY. THE SAID PLAINTIFF CHRISTOPHER ROBINSON

WAS FALSLEY ACCUSED AND INCARCERATED BY DEFENDANTS MICHIGAN STATE POLICE, AND WASHTENAW COUNTY PROSECUTORS, OFFICE AND DETECTIVE GARRY GRAY WITH OUT DUE PROCESS OF LAW THAT ACCORDING TO THE EXHIBIT 8. THE COMPLAINT FELONY WAS NEVER SWORN BEFORE THE COURT IN VOLATION OF MCL 764.1A MCL 764.1B SOME 35 YEARS AGO THE PLAINTIFF HAD NO CLUE THAT HE WAS NEVER LAWFULLY CHARGED WITH A COMPLAINT AND WARRANT TO HIS CSC CONVICTION AND SENTENCE. THE DISTRICT AND CIRCUIT NEVER HAD PROBABLE CAUSE TO ARREST THE PLAINTIFF WITH OUT CHARGES BEING AUTHORIZED AND FILED WITH THE DEFENDANTS WASHTENAW COUNTY PROSECUTORS OFFICE. EXHIBIT 10. IS THE COMPLAINT FELONY, WARRANT FELONY, INFORMATION FELONY IN THE CASE WHEREAS DEFENDANT POLICE OFFICER COMPLAINING WITNESS SHAWN BOOTH OF PITTSFEILD TOWNSHIP POLICE DEPARTMENT MADE FALSE STATEMENTS THAT PLAINTIFF DID ASSAULT, RESIST, OBSTRUCT DEFENDANT POLICE OFFICER STEPHEN ANDREWS OF PITTSFEILD TOWNSHIP POLICE DEPARTMENT. THE PLAINTIFF ARRIVED TO THE SAID LOCATION OF 3201 BEMIS ROAD YPSILANTI MICHIGAN 48197 ON APRIL 23TH 2013 TO REPORT TO HIS THEN PAROLE OFFICER KYRA BENNETT AT HURON VALLEY PRISON WHEREAS THE PAROLE OFFICE WAS LOCATED. THE PLAINTIFF CHRISTOPHER ROBINSON WAS ARRESTED AND CHARGED WITH SERVERAL PAROLE VIOLATIONS WHILE IN THE CUSTODY OF THE MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS THE PLAINTIFF HAD VERBAL DISPUTES WITH HIS THEN PAROLE AGENT KYRA BENNETT, SUPERVISOR TANZI COLE TABB, AND MICHAEL HUGES. THE PLAINTIFF HAD SUFERED AN PANIC ATTACK AND HA LAYED FACE DOWN ON THE FLOOR PAROLE SUPERVISOR CALLED PITTSFEILD POLICE DEPARTMENT STATING THE PLAINTIFF WS BEING DISORDERLY AND WOULDN'T SIT

DOWN. NOT THAT THE PLAINTIFF HAD COMMITTED ANY CRIME OR SORT THE PITTSFEILD POLICE DEPARTMENT ARRIVED WITH OUT BEING INCONJUNCTION WITH THE MICHIGAN STATE POLICE WHOM HAS TOTAL JURISDICTION OVER STATE PRISONS THE SAID DEFENDANTS POLICE OFFICERS OF PITTSFEILD TOWNSHIP, DEFENDANTS STEPHEN ANDREWS, JIM MUADLIN, SGT HENRY FUSIK WAS ACTING UNDER COLOR OF LAW AT ALL TIMES WITH OUT PROBABLE CAUSE BEING AT THE SAID LOCATION NOR AN WARRANT FOR MY ARREST ALSO NOT WITHIN THEIR PERSPECTIVE JURISDICTION. TH DEFENDANT STEPHEN ANDREWS PHYSICALLY ASSULTED THE PLAINTIFF THEN TURN AROUND AND FRAMED THE SAID DEFENDANT CHRISTOPHER ROBINSON OF AN UNFOUNDED AND UNLAWFUL CHARGE OF ASSULTING AN POLICE OFFICER. THE DEFENDANT POLICE OFFICER PICKED THE PLAINTIFF UP OFF THE GROUND BY THE HANDCUFFS AND SLAMMED THE PLAINTIFFS FACE UPON THE FLOOR CAUSING AN INJURY TO THE PLAINTIFF CHIN WHICH PLINATIFF RECEIVED 6 STICHES FOR LATER. DEFENDANT JIM MAULIN WAS PRESENT AT THE TIME AND WITNESS THE ASSAULT AND DIDN'T TAKE PROTOCOL ACTIONS BY LAW AND PITTFEILD TOWNSHIP POLICE DEPARTMENT POLICES AND REGULATIONS THAT WILL BE ADDRESSED IN DISCOVERY IN THIS SUIT. THE DEFENDANTS JIM MAULIN, STEPHEN ANDREWS, SGT HENRY FUSIK REFUSED THE PLAINTIFF OF MEDICAL ATTENTION ONCE HURON VALLEY AMBULANCE ARRIVED BY NOT LETTING THE PLAINTIFF SEEK PROMPT AND PROFESSIONAL MEDICAL ATTENTION. THIS WAS THE BEGINNING OF AN CONSPIRACY COVER UP BY THE SAID DEFENDANTS OF THE PITTSFEILD TOWNSHIP POLICE DEPARTMENT KNOWING THAT IF THE PLAINTIFF WAS TO HAVE SEEKED MEDICAL ATTENTION AND MADE AN COMPLAINT AGAINST POLICE OFFICER ANDREWS BUT THE PLAINTIFF EQUAL PROTECTION OF

THE LAWS OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION WAS TAKINGAWAY BY THE SAID DEFENDANTS TO COVER UP THE ASSAULT ON THE PLAINTIFF.SEE EXHIBIT 11. THE POLICE REPORT PERPARED BY THE DEFENDANT STEPHEN ANDREWS THAT WAS MADE ON PURE FABRICATION OF FALSE STATEMENTS TO OBTAIN AN TAINTED AND UNLAWFUL COVICTION. THE PLAINTIFF WILL LIKE FOR THIS COURT TO PLEASE RECOGNIZE THAT THE PLAINTIFF IS NOT CHALLENGING THE LEGALITY OF HIS CONVICTION OR SENTENCE ONLY IN REGARDS TO THE PLAINTIFF DEPRIVATION LIBERTY THAT WAS CREATED UPON AN PROCESS THAT THE COURT NEVER HAD PROBABLE CAUSE NOR JURISDICTION TO TAKE THE PLAINTIFF TO TRIAL, THAT INDEED CAUSE NUMEROUS OF INFRINGEMENTS OF THE PLAINTIFF CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS OF THE 8TH 14TH AMENDMENTS TO BE FREE FROM CRULE AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT AND THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS OF THIS STATE AND COUNTRY THAT WAS HANDED DOWN BY THE DEFENDANTS. THE PLAINTIFF WAS TRANSFERED BACK AND FORTH BY THE MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, BY HABEAS CORPUS NOT THAT THE DEFENDANTS OF WASHTENAW COUNTY PROSECUTORS HAD PROBABLE CAUSE OR AN WARRANT TO SEIZE THE PLAINTIFF FOR CHARGES TO STAND TRIAL FOR BUT SAID DEFENDANTS IN THIS CASE JUDGES ALLAN THOMAS TRUESDELL ARRAIGNED THE PLAINTIFF CHRISTOPHER BERNARD ROBINSON ON KNOWING HE NEVER HAD GENERAL JURISDICTION TO CHARGE THE PLAINTIFF IN OPEN COURT WITH OUT CHARGES BEING PROPERLY PROCESSED AND FILED. THE PLAINTIFF WAS BOUNDED OVER TO THE TRIAL COURT ON THE DEFENDANT POLICE OFFICER STEPHEN ANDREWS TESTIMONY ALONE. IN EXHIBIT 12. THE PLAINTIFFS PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION TRANSCRIPTS PLAY OUT INTO A WILLFUL 18 U.S. CODE 242-

DEPRIVATION OF RIGHTS UNDER COLOR OF LAW BY THE SAID DEFENDANTS WASHTENAW COUNTY PROSECUTORS OFFICE AND PITTSFEILD TOWNSHIP POLICE DEPARTMENT AND JUDGE JOSEPH J. BURKE WHO MADE FALSE AND MISLEADING STATEMENTS THAT THE COMPLAINT WAS FIED AND THERE WAS AN WARRANT FOR MY ARREST AND IWAS ARRESTED. EXHIBIT 12. THE PLAINTIFF MAKES REFERENCE THAT HE WAS NEVER ARRESTED NOR CHARGE WITH SAID CHARGE OG ASSULTING DEFENDANT ANDREWS ACCORDING TO THE COMPLAINT AND WARRANT EXHIBIT 9. WHICH WAS CLEAR TO DEFENDANT JUDGE BURKE THAT THE PLAINTIFF WAS NEVER CHARGED WITH THE SAID OFFENSE. TDEFENDANTS WASHTENAW COUNTY PROSECUTORS OFFICE MOVE THE COURT TO BIND THE PLAINTIFF ON CHARGES THAT WAS NOT ONLY UNFOUNDED BUT NOT LAWFULLY CHARGED IN THE COURT OF LAW. THE PLAINTIF CONTENDS THAT HE HAS AN CONTITUTIONAL RIGHT THAT'S GUARANTEED BY THE 14TH AMENDMENT TO EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS AND TO DUE PROCESS. THE PLAINTIFF ASSERTS THAT HE IS ON SOLID GROUND AND ON THIS AMERICAN SOIL. 18 U.S.C. 242 THIS PROVISION MAKES IT A CRIME FOR SOMEONE ACTING UNDER COLOR OF LAW TO WILLFULLY DEPRIVE A PERSON OF A RIGHT OR PRIVILEGE PROTECTED BY THE CONSTITUION OR LAWS OF THE UNITED STATES. MULTIPLE DEPRIVATIONS OF THE PLAINTIFFS CIVILRIGHTS CASE WILLFUL CRIMES HAS BEEN COMMITTED UPON THE PLAINTIFF IN THE DISTRICT AND CIRCUIT COURT ONCE THE DEFENDANT WAS BOUNDED OVER TO THE TRIAL COURT WASHTENAW COUNTY PROSECUTORS DEFENDATS KNOWINGLY AND INTENTIONALLY CONVICTED THE PLAINTIFF ON FALSE STATEMENTS, FALSE REPORTS, FALSE TESTIMONY, FALSE EVIDENCE WITH OUT LAWFUL JURISDICTION IN KNOWING SO. THIS WAS A COMPLETE CASE OF FARCE AND

MOCKERY UPON THE PLAINTIFF UNDER THE SHEILD OF THE 1871 KLUX KLAN ACT OF ALL WHITE PROSECUTORS, ALL WHITE JUDGES, ALL WHITE POLICE OFFICERS, AND AN OFFICER WHO WAS WHITE AS WELL AS THE ALLEGED VICTUM IN THE PLAINTIFF CSC CONVICTION AND SENTENCE. THE PLAINTIFF WAS CONVICTED AND SENTENCED TO A PRISON TERM OF 2 TO 4 YEARS CONSECUTIVE TO MY PAROLE. THE PLAINTIFF REPERSENTED HISSELF AT HIS PRELIMINARY HEARING AND TRIAL ALL BECAUSE THE STATE WAS TRYING TO FORCE ME TO TAKE A PLEA DEAL TO CLEAR UP FOR THE SAID DEFENDANT POLICE OFFICER ANDREWS ASSULTING AND INJURING THE PLAINTIFF IN DOING SO THE DEFENDANTS WERE ACTING OUTSIDE THEIR JURISDICTION AS A POLICE ENTITY SO THEREFORE THAT'S WHEN ALL SAID DEFENDANTS HAD A MEETING IN MINDS TO CONSPIRE TO CONVICT THE PLAINTIFF TO COVER THE UNLAWFUL AND MIS JUST WAS DONE TO THE PLAINTIFF. EXHIBITS 13. DEFENDANTS PITTSFEILD TOWNSHIP POLICE USE OF FORCE REPORTS AND ALSO INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS OF AN COMPLETE COVER UP AND A CLEAR DISREGARD OF THE PLAINTIFF CONTITUTIONAL RIGHTS THAT'S AFFORDED TO HIM BY THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION. THE PLAINTIFF IN THIS CASE FILED A SUCCESSFUL APPEAL OF THE UNWANTON TAINTED CONVICTION THAT CREATED A SERIOUS DELIBERATE INDIFFERENCE IN THE PLAINTIFF LIFE THE MICHIGAN COURT OF APPEALS VACATED THE SAID CONVICTION AND SENTENCE AND THE DEFENDANTS THREATENED THE PLAINTIFF WHEN I APPEARED IN COURT IN WHICH I THOUGHT I WAS GOING TO BE RELEASED I WAS TOLD BY DEFENDANTS WASHTENW COUNTY PROSECUTORS OFFICE IF I DIDN'T PLEA GUILTY TO A MISDEMEANOR THEY WILL TAKE ME BACK TO TRIAL. SEE EXHIBIT 14. THE MICHIGAN COURT APPEALS ORDER AND OPINION VACATING THE PLAINTIFFS

CONVICTION AND SENTENCE. WITH OUT ANY CHARGES EVER FILED RELATED TO THE SAID OFFENSE THE DEFENDANTS WASHTENAW COUNTY PROSECUTORS OFFICE, JUDGE CAROL KUHNKE KNOWINGLY, AND INTELLIGENTLY, WITHOUT LAWFUL JURISDICTION AND PROABALE CAUSE RECONVICTED THE PLAINTIFF OF HE SAME CHARGE, WITH THE SAME CASE NUMBER,AND COMPLAINT AND WARRANT THAT WAS NEVER AUTHORIZED BY THE DEFENDANTS WASHTENAW COUNTY POSECUTORS OFFICE. THE PLAINTIFF STATES THAT THERES NO LEGAL WAY THAT THE SAID DEFENDANTS DID NOT HAVE AN MEETING IN MINDS TO FRAME THE PLAINTIFF OF UNFOUNDED CHARGES WITHOUT DUE PROCESS OF LAW. ALL SAID JUDGES AND PROSECUTORS HAVE EXCESS TO THE CASE FILE WHEN PLAINTIFF DESPERATELY TRIED WARNING THE COURT OF THESE SAID CONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATIONS THE RECORD IN THE DISCOVERY PHASE WILL FIND THAT ALL SAID DEFENDANTS ACCORDING TO THE RECORD THE PLAINTIFF WAS FIGHTING FOR HIS LIBERTY AGAINST THE POWERS THAT BE WITH THE INTELLIGENCE OF TRYING TO AT LEAST PERSERVE THESE SAID CONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATIONS FOR THE RECORD IN KNOWING I WAS TOTALLY INNOCENT AND WAS GOING TO BE CONVICTED AND RECONVICTED BY THE DEFENDANTS. THIS IS THE HISTORY OF 1871 OF THE KUKLUX KLAN ACT 1871 WHEREAS THE PLAINTIFF CANT SEEM TO REDRESS HIS AND HIS MOTHERS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS IN FEDERAL COURT THAT WAS INFRINGED UPON. THE PLAINTIFF SUSPECT THE OBVIOUS THAT ACCORDING TO THE STATE OF MICHIGAN THE PLAINTIFF WHO IS AFRICAN AMERICAN WHO IS ON SOLID GROUND AND ON AMERICAN SOIL THAT HE AND HIS MOTHER CANNOT REDRESS OUR CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS AS AFRICAN AMERICANS AND HOLD THE STATE AND POLICE RESPONSIBLE FOR THEIR UNLAWFUL ACTIONS

WHILE BEING CLOTHED UNDER THE COLOR OF STATE LAW. THE PITTS
FEID TOWNSHIP POLICE DEPARTMENT DEFENDANTS LT.SEAN
MCCORMICK, AND COMPLAINING WITNESS IN THE CSAE UPON
STEPHEN ANDREWS ALL OBSTRUCTED JUSTICE IN COVERING UP FOR
THE SAID DEFENDANTS AND WHEN BY LAW AND PITTSFEILD TOWNSHIP
POLICE DEPARTMENT AND PUBLIC SAFTEY DIRECTOR MATTHEW
HARSHBERGER COULD HAVE PROTECTED THE PLAINTIFFS
CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS FROM FURTHER BEING INFRINGED UPON
FROM THE SAID DEFENDANTS THATS STATED IN THIS COMPLAINT.
EXHIBIT 14. IS THE JUDGMENT OF SENTENCES THAT WAS HANDED
DOWN BY THE TRIAL COURT OF WASHTENAW COUNTY BY DEFENDANTS
JUDGE DONALD SHELTON,JUDGE CAROL KUHNE. THE PLAINTIFF WAS
CONVICTED OF THE SAID CHARGE OF ALLEGALLY ASSULTING POLICE
OFFICER STEPHEN ANDREWS ON JANUARY 14TH 2014. THE MICHIGAN
COURT APPEALS VACATED THE PLAINTIFF CONVICTION TWO YEARS
LATER ON JANUARY 28, 2016 AND THE PLAINTIFF WASN'T RELEASED BY
THE SAID DEFENDANTS JUDGE CAROL KUHNKE INSTEAD THE
DEFENDANTS WASHTENAW COUNTY PROSECUTORS OFFICE ALONG
WITH JUDGE KUNKE RETRIED AND RECONVICTED THE PLAINTIFF ON NO
CHARGES EVER BEING FILED BY THE STATE OF MICHIGAN NOR THE
WASHTENAW COUNTY PROSECUTORS OFFICE. THE PLAINTIFF WASN'T
RELEASED FROM PRISON ON THE SAID CHARGE OF ALLEGALLY
ASSULTING DEFENDANT POLICE OFFICER STEPHEN ANDREWS UNTIL
2018. AND WAS DISCHARGED OFF PAROLE ON A VOID SENTENCE FROM
THE TRIAL COURT ON THE SAID CHARGE IN FEBUARY OF 2022. SO
THEREFORE THE PLAINTIFF STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS IN THE STATE OF
MICHIGAN TO REDRESS ANY CONSTITUTIONAL DEPRIVATIONS BY THE
UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION IS THREE YEARS. THE MICHIGAN COURT

OF APPEALS NEVER GAVE THE TRIAL COURT THE JURISDICTION TO RETRY THE PLAINTIFF IT WOULD HAVE BEEN REALLY FRIVOLOUS FOR THE PLAINTIFF TO TRY TO APPEAL A CONVICTION THAT WAS ALREADY ADJUDICATED AND RULED ON WITH THE MICHIGAN COURT OF APPEALS HAVING NO JURISDICTION OVER THE CASE ALONG WITH THE TRIAL COURT. THE PLAINTIFF PLANS TO INTRODUCE IN DISCOVERY OF BOTH TRIAL TRANSCRIPTS OF WHAT TO BE PLAYED OUT LIKE SOME KINDA MINI SERIES ON TELEVISION. SINCE BEING RELEASED FROM PRISON IN 2018. THE PLAINTIFF HAS DESPERATELY TRIED TO REDRESS THESE CLAIMS IN THE EASTERN DISTRICT COURT OF MICHIGAN AND HAS HORRIBLY FAILED DUE UNLAWFUL INTERFERENCE BY LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES, CLERKS OF THE COURT, JUDGES CASE MANAGERS ALSO ANI'T NO TELLIN WHO ELSE. EXHIBIT 15. IS A CITIZEN COMPLAINT FILED BY THE PLAINTIFF CHRISTOPHER ROBINSON STATING OUT THE SAME FACTS IN THIS CASE BY THE SAID DEFENDANTS OF WASHTENAW COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENT AND PITTSFELD TOWNSHIP POLICE DEPARTMENT TO THE SAID DEFENDANTS THE MICHIGAN STATE POLICE TROOPER KACHELSKI OF BRIGHTON MICHIGAN POST. EXHIBIT 15. STATE OUT THE SAME SIMILAR FACTS SURROUNDING THIS CASE AND THE SAID DEFENDANTS MICHIGAN STATE POLICE OF BRIGHTON MICHIGAN ALSO INFRINDGED ON THE PLAINTIFF CONSTIUTIONAL RIGHTS THAT'S AFFORDED AND GUARANTEED BY THE CONSTITUTION OF EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS OF THIS COINTRY. THE PLAINTIFF IN THIS CASE CHRISTOPHER ROBINSON PRESENTED TO MUCH OVERWELIMING EVIDENCE TO THE DEFENDANTS MICHIGAN STATE POLICE OF THE PLAINTIFF BEING PHYSICALLY ASSULTED AND FRAMED FOR A CRIMINAL CHARGE I NEVERED COMMITED BY DEFENDANT POLICE OFFICER STEPHEN

ANDREWES OF PITTSFEILD TOWNSHIP POLICE DEPARTMENT. EVERY SINCE THE FILING OF THE COMPLAINT AND DISMISSING ALL OF PLAINTIFFS ALLEGATIONS AND CIVIL SUITS BEING FILED THE PLAINTIFFS CHRISTOPHER ROBINSON, AND MOTHER CHRISTINE THOMPSON HAS BEEN TARGERTED AND HARASSED FOLLOWED AND TO THE POINT THAT THE PLAINTIFFS CANT NOT MAKE ANY CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS SURROUNDING THESE SERIOUS CLAIMS. THE PLAINTIFFS HAVE MADE EVERY ATTEMPT TO SEEK PROTECTION AND HELP FROM THE SAID DEFENDANT ALSO DEFENDANTS OF OAK PARK MICHIGAN STATE POLICE DEPARTMENT HAS REFUSED TO ALLOW THE PLAINTIFF TO FILE A CITIZEN COMPLAINT WITH DEFENDANTS OF THE OAK PARK POLICE DEPARMENT AND WAS ORDER TO LEAVE. THE PLAINTIFFS STATES THAT IN THIS HYBRID COMPLAINT IS THAT STATE AND LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT ARE GATE KEEPING THE PLAINTIFFS FROM EXERCISING OUR CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS TO BE SAFE AND SECURE IN OUR HOME. ALSO TO BE FREE FROM ANY CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT BY THE SAID DEFENDANTS FOR EXERCISING OUR RIGGHT TO SPEAK OUT CONCEARNING ALL THESE SAID MATTERS THAT'S STATED IN THIS COMPLAINT. THE SAID DEFENDANTS OF WASHTENAW COUNTY LAW ENFORCEMENT ANGENCIES AND THE WASHTENAW COUNTY PROSECUTORS OFFICE ALSO ALONG THE MICHIGAN STATE POLICE ARE AND HAVE BEEN TRYING TO DO HARM TO THE SAID PLAINTIFFS ALL IN DUE TO THE PLAINTIFF ALLEGED RAPE OF AN WHITE WOMAN AND BEING PHYSICALLY ASSULTED BY AN WHITE POLICE OFFICER. THE PLAINTIFF WILL LIKE FOR THIS COURT TO BEMINFUL THE PLAINTIFF POSSESSES AND HOLDS TO DOCUMENTS THAT THE ATTACK ON THE PLAINTIFF AND NOW HIS MOTHER PLAINTIFF CHRISTINE THOMPSON FALLS CLEAR IN THE HANDS OF THE KU KLUX KLAN ACT OF 1871 BY

WHITE POLICE OFFICERS AND JUDGES ABUSING THEIR POWER AND DISCRETION TO AVOID FROM THE PLAINTIFFS SPEAKING OUT AND REDRESSING OUR DEPRIVATIONS OF OUR CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS BY THE HANDS OF THE SAID DEFENDANTS. THIS SUIT DETAILS ALL THE FACTS SUPPLIED WITH PHYSICAL EVIDENCE AS TO WHY THE PLAINTIFFS LIVES ARE IN IMMEDIATE DANGER BY THE SAID DEFENDANTS THE SAID DEFENDANTS OF WASHTENAW COUNTY AND PITTSFEILD TOWNSHIP POLICE DEPARTMENT KEEP ON CONSTANTLY SHOWING UP TO THE PLAINTIFFS HOME SEIZING OUR HOME TRYING TO VERBALLY FORCE THE PLAINTIFF AND HIS 70 YEAR OLD MOTHER OUT OF HER HOME THAT SHE LAWFULLY OWN. BY THREATENING AND INTIMADATING ACTIONS BY MAKING VERBAL THREATS OF POSSIBLE ARREST AND DETAINMENT WITH OUT PROBABLE CAUSE R AN WARRANT THAT'S A VIOLATION OF PLAINTIFFS 4TH AMENDMENT RIGHTS TO BE SAFE AND SECURE IN OUR HOME. THE SAID DEFENDANTS PITTSFEILD TOWNSHIP POLICE DEPARTMENT ARRIVING TO THE PLAINTIFF HOME ACTING OUTSIDE THEIR JURISDICTION ALSO WITHOUT PROBABLE CAUSE OR A SEARCH WARRANT. THESE ACTIONS BY THE SAID DEFENDANTS NOT ARE JUST OUT OF RETALIATION BUT NOR WAS THEIR ACTIONS AT ALL IN GOOD FAITH ARRIVING TO THE PLAINTIFFS HOME 6. TO 7. CARS DEEP IN MY NEIGHBORHOOD TWICE A WEEK ALONG WITH DEFENDANT CIVIL SERVICE PATRICK COLLIGAN SERVING THE PLAINTIFFS WITH LANDLORD TEANANTS EVICTION PAPERS. ALL OF THE SEIZURES OF OUR HOME BY THE SAID DEFENDANTS ARE CAPTURED ON THEIR BODYCAM ALL OF THE SAID DEFENDANTS IN THIS CASE HAS ALL HAD MEETING IN MINDS TO SILENCE THE PLAINTIFFS DUE TO THE PLAINTIFF CHRISTOPHER ROBINSON HAVING TO POSSESS FALSIFICATION OF LEGAL BINDING DOCUMENTS WITH INTENTIONAL FALSE STATEMENTS MADE BY PUBLIC

OFFICIALS TO HAVE INCARCERATED THE PLAINTIFF FOR MANY YEARS OF HIS LIFE WITHOUT EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS OF THE UNITED STATES TO DUE PROCESS OF HANDLED DOWN BY CROOKED AND CORRUPT POLICE OFFICERS AND JUDGES. THE PLAINTIFF CHRISTINE THOMPSON THE MOTHER OF THE PLAINTIFF HAS NOW BEEN TARGETED BY THE SAID DEFENDANTS BY NOW MAKING EVERY UNLAWFUL ATTEMPT TO CONSPIRE WITH THE SAID DEFENDANTS ATTORNEY ZANITA CLIPPER, KEVIN THOMPSON, JUDGES DARLENE O'BREIN, ERANE WASHINGTON TO TAKE UNLAWFUL POSSESSION OF MY HOME OUT OF RETALIATION CAUSING AN DELIBERATE INDIFFERENCE TO THE PLAINTIFFS MENTAL AND PHYSICAL HEALTH. THE PLAINTIFFS WILL LIKE FOR THIS TO BE MINDFUL THAT THE PLAINTIFFS LIVE EXCLUSIVELY IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD FULL OF WASHTENAW COUNTY SHERIFFS DEPUTIES, MICHIGAN STATE TROOPERS, PROSECUTORS, FEDERAL AGENTS ETC. THE PLAINTIFF CHRISTOPHER ROBINSON IS AN CONVICTED SEX OFFENDER WHO UNLAWFULLY REMAINS ON THE MICHIGAN SEX OFFENDER REGISTRY AND ALSO A TARGET OF THAT STIGMA IN THE COMMUNITY. THE PLAINTIFF HAS BEEN ALERTED BY A COUPLE WHISTLE BLOWERS IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD THAT THE PLAINTIFF AND THE PLAINTIFF MOTHER HOME HAS HIDDEN CAMERAS AND HAD BEEN PLACED IN MORE THEN LIKELY WHEN THE PLAINTIFFS WAS TRYING TO SELL THEIR HOME AND HAD A OPEN HOUSE. THE SAID PLAINTIFFS WERE GONE FROM THEIR HOME FOR SEVERAL HOURS. ALSO THE WHISTLE BLOWERS HAVE STATED THAT THE LAW ENFORCEMENT OF WASHTENAW COUNTY PLACED THE HIDDEN CAMERAS AND THAT THROUGH USING OUR WIFI AND USING SOME CODE OR APP THE ENTIRE PEOPLE IN OUR NEIGHBORHOOD CAN HEAR AND SEE EVERYTHING THAT'S GOING ON IN OUR HOME. THE WHISTLE

BLOWERS IN THIS CASE ARE TERRIFIED OF THE LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES WHO HAS CREATED ALL THIS AND KNOWS OF ALL UNLAWFUL ACTIONS UPON THE PLAINTIFS BEHIND THE SCEANS IN MEETINGS OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD WATCH ETC. THE PLAINTIFFS HAVE NEVER HAD AN INVITATION TO AN NEIGHBORHOOD WATCH MEETING. THE ENTIRE COMMUNITIY OF CHARTER TOWNSHIP HAVE UNLAWFUL ACCESS TO OUR HOME INVATED OUR PRIVACY AND INFRINGING ON THE PLAINTIFF CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS TO BE SAFE AND SECURE IN OUR HOME. THE PLAINTIFF CHRISTOPHER HAS HAD MANY VERBAL CONFRONTATIONS WITH NEIBORS AND PEOPLE IN OUR NEIGHBOR HOOD INVADING OUR PRIVACY. THE PLAINTIFF HAD MADE NUMEROUS OF POLICE REPORTS ABOUT THIS MATTER TO DEFENDANTS WASHTENAE COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENT AND THE MICHUGAN STATE POLICE TROOPER WHO WAS AN EYE WITNESS TO AN VERY LONG ORANGE WIRE RUNNING FROM ALL THE WAY FROM MY NEIGHBORS HOUSE FROM ACROSS THE STREET. EVEN DEFENDANT STATE TROOPER KACHELSKI FROM BRIGHTON STATE POST STATED EVEN HE THOUGHT THAT WAS WEIRD OR ODD THE PLAINTIFF STATED TO DEFENDANT STATE TROOPER KACHELSKI WHO WAS AT THE PLAINTIFF RESIDENCE TO PICK UP THE CITIZEN COMPLAINT THAT HD COVERED SOME OF THE ACTIONS BY THE SAID DEFENDANTS OF LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES. THE PLAINTIFF HAD CONFRONTED HIS NEIGHBOR ABOUT THE LONG ORANGE WIRE RUNNING FROM HIS CABLE BOX TO MINDS HE STATED TO ME THAT THAT'S OW HE GETS HIS INTERNET I TOLD JOHN DOE AND FOR HIS WIFE JANE DOE TO HAVE IT TAKEN DOWN HE STATED YES HE WOULD. NOW THERFORE RIGHT THEN AND THERE JOHN DOE KNOWINGLY KNEW THE PLAINTIFF KNEW HE HAD UNLAW ACCESS TO THE PLAINTIFFS HOME. RECORDS IN THE DISCOVERY PHASE WILL UNCOVER SERVERAL POLICE REPORTS STATING

THESE FACTS TO THE IN VASION OF OUR PRIVACY. EVERDAY MANY CARS ARE POSTED EITHER OUT IN FRONT OF OUR HOUSE AND IN THE PARKING LOT OF THR FORD HERITAGE PARK WHEREAS OUR HOME SITS RIGHT ACROSS FROM AND THE PLAINTIFF WAS TOLD THE CARS ARE THERE EVERYDAY THAT'S WHERE THEY CAN PICK UP OUR WIFI RANGE FROM A CERTAIN DISTANCE, THE PLAINTIFF DON'T KNOW WHERE THE HIDDEN CAMERAS ARE IN OUR HOME BUT ITS CLEAR AND ABVIOUS AS TO NOW WHY THE PLAINTIFFS HAVE ALL THE UNECESSARY TRAFFIC SURROUNDING THEIR HOME. THE PLAINTIFF CHRISTOPHER ROBINSON JUST RECENTLY ALMOST HAD AN PHYSICAL ALTERCATION WITH A REITRED POLICE OFFICER WHO LIVES BEHIND THE PLAINTIFF MAKING VERBAL THREATS TO ME ALSO THE DEFENDANTS OF WASHTENAW COUNTY POLICE DEPARMENT WHO LIVES IN MY NEIGHBORHOOD AND IS SOME OF THE ONES WHO BE COMING TO THE PLAINTIFFS HOME MAKING VERBAL THREATS TO THE PLAINTIFF IN TRYING TO SEIZE OUR HOME. ALSO DEFENDANT POLICE OFFICER FROM PITTSFEILD TOWNSHIP POLICE DEPARTMENT WHO JUST TWO WEEKS AGO PRIOR TO THIS COMPLAINT CAME TO THE PLAINTIFFS RESIDENCE ACTING OUTSIDE JURISDICTION WITH OUT A WARRANT OR BEING INCONJUCTION WITH THE MICHIGAN STATE POLICE STATED TO THE PLAINTIFF THAT DEFENDANT JUDGE DARLENE O' BREIN GAVE HIM A EVICTION NOTICE FOR THE PLAINTIFF AND HIS MOTHER TO LEAVE THE PREMISES WHICH WE REFUSE TO DO SO. THE PLAINTIFFS PRIVACY IS BEING INVADED WE CANT MAKE A POLICE REPORT WERE BEING FOLLOWED AND TRACKED TO EVERY MOVE WE MAKE AND CANT REDRESS OUR CIVIL RIFHTS THAT'S BEEN INFRINGED UPON. OUR LIVES ARE IN GRAVE DANGER THE PLAINTIFF HAVE WITNESS THAT THE DEFENDAT KEVIN V. THOMPSON THE SON OF THE PLAINTIFF

EXHUSBAND WHO OPENED UP THIS UNLAWFUL EVICTION PROCESS TO THE PLAINTIFF HOME HIM WITH ATTORNEY DEFENDANT ZANITA CLIPPER. THAT THE PLAINTIFFS HOME MYSTERIOUSLY CAUGHT FIRE THE LAST DAY OF THE DEFENDANT AND HIS SON PICKED UP SOME OF HIS FATHERS PERSONAL PROPERTY SEE EXHIBIT 16, PHOTOS OF FIRE TO THE PLAINTIFFS HOME. RECORDS WILL SHOW LATER IN DISCOVERY THAT ON AUGUST 7TH 2022 THE PLAINTIFF WAS IN A SERIOUS CAR CRASH THAT LEFT THE PLAINTIFF WITH SERIOUS SPINAL CORD INJURIES WITH TWO CERVICAL SURGERIES TO THE NECK WITH TILL THIS DATE IN NEED OF TWO MORE SPINAL CORD BACK SURGERIES. THE PLAINTFF ALLEGES THAT RECORDS WILL SHOW THAT FROM THE TIME OF 2011. WHEN PLAINTIFF PAROLED ON HIS CSC CONVICTION AND SENTENCE THAT THE PLAINTIFF COMPLAINED TO HIS PAROLE AGENT KYRA BENNETT OF WASHTENAW COUNTY AND PAROLE AGENT FROM WAYNE COUNTY THAT THE PLAINTIFF IS BEING FOLLOWED BY LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES OF WASHTENAW COUNTY AND CONSTANT COMPLAINTS OF ALMOST BEING RAN OFF THE ROAD ON NUMEROUS OF OCCASSIONS. PLAINTIFF PAROLED IN 2018. ON THE ALLEGED ASSULT ON DEFENDANT POLICE ANDREWS ON AN VOID SENTENCE FROM THE TRIAL COURT WAS BEING TARGETED BY DEFENDANTS OF PITTSFEILD TOWNSHIP POLICE DEPARMENT AND WASHTENAW COUNTY SHERIFFS ESPECIALLY WHEN THE PAINTIFF TRIES TO REDRESS THE WRONG DOINGS OF THE SAID DEFENDANTS.

KU KLUX KLAN ACT OF 1871 TO ENFORCE THE
PROVISIONS OF THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT TO THE
CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES AND OTHER PURPOSES''

UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT

MONROE V. PAPE 365 U.S.167 (1961)

THE PLAINTIFFS CONTENDS THAT IT WAS STATED IN MONROE V. PAPE 365 U.S. 179 THIS SECTION WHO MAY HAVE BEEN INJURED IN ANY OF HIS RIGHTS, PRIVILEGES, IMMUNITIES OF PERSON OR PROPERTY A CIVIL ACTION FOR DAMAGES AGAINST THE WRONGDOER IN FEDERAL COURTS. THE OFFENSES COMMITTED AGAINST HIM MAY BE THE COMMON VIOLATIONS OF THE MUNICIPAL LAW OF HIS STATE . IT MAY GIVE RISE TO NUMEROUS VEXATIONS AND OUTRAGEOUS PROSECUTIONS, INSPIRED BY MERE MERCENARY CONSIDERATIONS, PROSECUTED IN A SPIRIT OF PLUNDER, AIDED BY THE CRIMES OF PERJURY AND SUBORNATION OF PERJURY, MORE RECKLESS AND DANGEROUS TO SOCIETY THAN THE ALLEGED OFFENSES OF WHICH THE CAUSE OF ACTION MAY ARISE. THE PLAINTIFFS ASSERTS THAT THE SAID DEFENDANTS IN THIS CASE HAS COMMITTED STATE AND FEDERAL CRIMES UPON PERSON AND PROPERTY WITHOUT DUE PROCESS OF LAW. OF THE 14TH AMENDMENT OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION THAT CAUSE FOR REDRESS OF THE PLAINTIFF CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS BY SAID DEFENDANTS THAT HAVE WILLINGLY PARTICIPATED IN A CONSPIRACY TO HARM THE PLAINTIFFS WHILE ACTING UNDER THE COLOR OF LAW. THESE SAID ACTIONS UPON THE PLAINTIFFS BY THE SAID DEFENDANT WERE WILLFUL AND MEANT TO CAUSE MENTAL AND PHYSICAL INJURIES TO THE PLAINTIFFS. THE ACTIONS UPON THE

PLAINTIFFS BY THE SAID DEFENDANTS ARE ACTIONS OF THE 1871 KU KLUX KLAN ACT THE PLAINTIFFS ARE VICTIMS OF CRIMES COMMITTED UPON PERSON AND THERE PROPERTY BY LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES AND JUDGES WHO ALL WHITE IN POWER TO MAKE SOME DECISIONS THAT IS A CAUSE OF INFRINGEMENT UPON THE PLAINTIFF CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS TO BE FREE FROM ULAWFUL SEARCHES AND SIZURES, ALSO TO BE FREE CROM CRULE AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT. THE SAID PLAINTIFFS HAVE BEEN REPEATALLY DENIED PROPER ACCESS TO THE COURTS ALSO HAS BEEN REFUSED TO BE ALLOWED TO FILE CITIZENS COMPLAINTS AGAINST THE POLICE, JUDGES. PROSECUTORS, AND ALL OTHER SAID DEFENDANTS IN THIS CASE IS A DIRECT VIOLATION OF THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS THIS STATE AND COUNTRY. IT CLEARLY APPERAS THAT THE PLAINTIFFFS CLAIMS ARE TO BE DISMISSED ALL IN DUE IN PART TO PROTECT THE INTEGRITY OF THE JUDICIAL SYSTEM AND THE WRONGS OF LAW ENFOCEMENT AGENCIES WHO ABUSES THEIR POWER AND AUTHORITY. ALSO THE EXPOSING THIS CORRUPTUS OF THE SAID DEFENDANTS WHO ALL BEEN WORKING IN DISGUISE AS PUBLIC SERVENT OFFICIALS HAVE COMMITTED CRIMES UPON THE PLAINTIFFS UNDER COLOR OF LAW WITH WHITE HUDDIES IN ACTIONS OF THE 1871 KU KLUX KLAN ACT. THE PLAINTIFFS HAVE MADE EVERY ATTEMPT TO PERVENT FURTHER INJURIES UPON PERSON AND PROPERTY DEFENDANTS THE MICHIGAN STATE POLICE AND THE WASHTENAW COUNTY SHERIFF DEPARMENT REFUSE TO INVESTIGATE OUR CLAIMS NOR ALLOW THE PLAINTIFF TO FILE CHARGES UPON THE SAID DEFENDANTS. THE DEFENDANTS MICHIGAN STATE POLICE HAS BEEN PROVIDED OVER HELMING EVIDENCE FROM THE FILING OF CITIZEN COMPLAINTS BY THE PLAINTIFF CHRISTOPHER ROBINSON. THE PLAINTIFFS CONTENTS THAT IT WOULD MAKE LOGICAL SENSE THAT

THE DEFENDANTS WHO ARE LAW ENFORCEMENT WHO ARE OPPRESSING THE SAID PLAINTIFFS WILL NOT INVESTIGATE THEIR OWN CRIMES THERE COMMITTING UPON THE SAID PLAINTIFFS.

STATUE OF LIMITATIONS

THE PLAINTIFF CHRISTOPHER ROBINSON SAID CLAIMS AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS OF WASHTENAW COUNTY SHERIFF DEPARMENT, PITTSFEILD TOWNSHIP POLICE DEPARTMENT, WASHTENAW COUNTY PROSECUTORS OFFICE, AND PROSECUTORS AND ALL OTHER DEFENDANTS OF SAID PUBLIC SERVANTS IN THIS CASE IS NOT BARRED FROM THIS SUIT TAT THIS IS NOT AN ATTACK ON THE PLAINTIFF CONVICTION AND SENTENCE BUT RATHER AN ATTACK ON THE NEWLY DISCOVERED EVIDENCE OF THE PLAINTIFF HAS PERSONAL KNOWLEADGE OF THE SAID DEFENDANTS FRAMED THE PLAINTIFF WITH OUT DUEPROCESS OF LAW. THAT ACCORDING TO THE CASE FILE OF CERTIFIED DOCUMENTS THAT WERE FILED WITH OUT PROPER DUE PROCESS AND AUTHORIZATION OF CRIMINAL CHARGES OF SAID CONVICTIONS OF THE PLAINTIFF CSC CASE AND ASSULTING THE DEFENDANT POLICE OFFICER STEPHAN ANDREWS ALLEGALLY. RECORDS IN THIS SUIT DEMOSTRATES AN COMPLETE CONSPIRACY COVER UP THAT IN 20223 THE PLAITIFF CHRISTOPHER ROBINSON DISCOVERED THAT PLAINTIFF WAS NERVER LEGALLY CHARGED WITH HIS CSC OFFENSE ALSO THE ALLEGED OFFENSE OF ALLEGALLY ASSULTING

POLICE OFFICER STEPHAN ANDREWS. THE PLAINTIFF CONTENDS THAT UNDER FEDERAL LAW, A CAUSE OF ACTION ACCRUES WHEN PLAINTIFF KNOWS OR HAS REASON TO KNOW OF THE INJURY THAT IS THE BASIS FOR THE ACTION. *FRIEDMAN V. ESTATE OF PRESSER* 929 F.2D 1151 (6TH CIR 1991) THE PLAINTIFF IS CHARGED WITH THE DUTY OF REASONABLE DILIGENCE IN DISCOVERING HIS INJURY. SEE *SEVIER V. TURNER* 742 F.2D 262, 273. (6TH CIR 1984) IN THIS CASE THE LATEST ACCURAL DATE BY WHICH PLAINTIFF CLEARLY KNEW OR HAD REASON TO KNOW OF THE INJURY. IN DETERMINING A STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS FOR 1983 ACTIONS, COURTS ARE TO APPLY THE STATES STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS FOR 1983 ACTIONS, COURTS ARE TO APPLY THE STATES STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS FOR PERSONAL INJURY. IN MICHIGAN ITS THREE YEAR *WILSON V. GARCIA* 471 U.S. (1985) ITS WELL ESTABLISHED IN MICHIGAN THREE YEAR STATUTE OF LIMITATION APPLIES TO 1983 CLAIMS. *CARROLL V. WILKERSON*, 782 F.2D 44 (6TH CIR. 1986). ALTHOUGH THE STATE LAW DETERMINES THE TIME PLAINTIFFS HAS TO FILE, THE ACCURAL DATE THAT IS THE TIME BEGINS TO RUN IS A QUESTION OF FEDERAL LAW. *KUZAWA V. MUELLER* 547 F.SUPP 1254 (ED MICH 1982) THE PLAINTIFF CHRISTOPHER ROBINSON CONTENDS HE CAN PREVAIL ON HIS SAID CLAIMS PLAINTIFF ALLEGES A VIOLATION OF SPECIFIED CONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATIONS UNDER 42 U.S.C. 1983. IN ANY SECTION 1983 ACTION, THE INITIAL MUST FOCUS ON WHETHER TWO ESSENTIAL ELEMENTS ARE PRESENT WHETHER THE CONDUCT COMPLAINED OF WAS COMMITTED BY A PERSON ACTING UNDER COLOR OF STATE LAW; AND WHETHER THIS CONDUCT DEPRIVED THE PLAINTIFF OF HIS RIGHTS, IMMUNITIES SECURED BY THE CONSTITUTION OF LAWS OF THE UNITED STATES. 42 U.S.C. 1983 *PRATT V. TAYLOR* 451 U.S. 527, 101 S. CT 1908 (1981) IN CONCLUSION THE

PLAINTIFF UNCONSTITUTIONAL CONVICTIONS AND SENTENCES WERE WITH OUT EQUAL DUE PROCESS OF LAW THAT THE PLAINTIFF UNCONSTITUTIONAL CONVICTION OF ALLEGALLY ASSULTING DEFENDANT STEPHEN ANDREWS WERE VACATED BY THE MICHIGAN COURT OF APPEALS AD THE PLAINTIFF WAS UNLAWFULLY RECONVICTED AND RESENTENCE WAS UNLAWFUL AND WITH OUT JURISDICTION OF THE TRIAL COURT. THE PLAINTIFF CONVICTION AND SENTENCE WAS VACATED ON JANUARY 28. 2016. THE PLAINTIFF REMAINED INCARCERATED UNTILL PAROLED IN 2018 AND COMPLETING THE VOID CONVICTION AND SENTENCE UPON DISCHARGING OFF PAROLE OF THE CHARGE OF ASSULTING THE ALLEGED DEFENDANT STEPHEN ANDREWS IN FEBUARY OF 2022.

JUDICIAL IMMUNITY

GIBSON V. GOLDSTON RALEIGH COUNTY, WEST VIRGINA 4TH CIRCUIT NOVEMBER 14, 2022 IMMUNITY AND ACCOUNTABILITY PRIVATE PROPERTY. THE SAID DEFENDANTS JUDGE DARLENE O' BREIN, ERANE WASHINGTON, CAROL KUNKE, ALLAN TRUSEDELL. JOESPH BURKE, WHO ALL ACTED IN CLEAR ABSENCE OF ALL JURIDDICTION WHILE PERFORMING JUDICIAL ACTS UNDER COLOR OF LAW THE DEFENDANTS JUDGES DARLRNE O' BREIN, ERANE WASHINGTON, WERE ACTING UNDER OF COLOR OF LAW AT ALL TIMES PERFORMING JUDICAL ACTS MAKING ERRONEOUS DECISIONS INJURING THE PLAINTIFFS CHRISTINE THOMPSON AND CHRISTOPHER ROBINSON. THE SAID DEFENDANTS CONSPIRED WITH THE SAID DEFENDANTS ATTORNEYS ZANITA CLIPPER. SARA GORMAN RAJAN, KEVEIN THOMPSON, TO TAKE POSSESSION OF MY HOME UNLAWFULLY THROUGH AN UNLAWFUL LANDLORD

TEANANT EVIVTION PROCESS DEFENDANT DARLENE O' BREIN, ERANE WASHINGTON, WAS WELL AWARE THE DEFENDANT ATTORNEY ZANITA CLIPPER AND HER CLIENT KEVIN THOMPSON UNLAWFUL ACTIONS AGAINST THE PLAINTIFFS AND TOOK NO CORRECTIVE ACTION IN THIS MATTER ALLOWING THE DEFENDANTS OF PITTSFEILD TOWNSHIP POLICE DEPARTMENT TO SEIZE THE PLAINTIFF HOME FORCIBLY WITH THREATENING AND INTIMADATING ACTIONS TRYING TO MAKE THE PLAINTIFFS VACATE THEIR HOME THEY OWN WITH TITLE. THE ACTIONS BY THE SAID DEFENDANTS WERE UNLAWFUL, AN PUBLIC EMBRASSMENT TO MY NEIGHBORHOOD MAKING VERBAL THREATS TO ARREST THE PLAINTIFFS ON THEIR OWN PROPERTY WITH OUT PROABLE CAUSE NOR AN SEARCH WARRANT. COMMON LAW SINCE THAT DEFENDANTS JUDGES DARLENE O'BREIN, ERANE WASHINGTON KNOWING KNEW THAT NO CITIZEN IN THE UNITED STATES CAN BE EVICTED FROM THE PROPERTY THEY OWN WITH TITLE. IN THE PLAINTIFFS CASE OF 8TH AMENDMENT VIOLATIONS BY THE DEFENDANTS WASHTENAW COUNTY PROSECUTORS OFFICE, PROSECUTORS, AND JUDGES, WHO PROSECUTED AND CONVICTED THE PLAINTIFF IN CLEAR ABSENCE OF ALL JURISDICTION LIKE STATED IN THIS SUIT IS SUBJECT TO DAMAGES IN THIS FOR SEE STUMP V. SPARKMAN.

CONSTITUTIONAL CLAIMS

1. DEFENDANTS JUDGES DARLENE O' BREIN, ERANE WASHINGTON, KEVIN THOMPSON, ATTORNEYS ZANITA CLIPPER, SARA GORMAN RAJON, DID WILLFULLY VIOLATE THE PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS BY HAVING A MEETING IN MINDS TO CONSPIRE TO INJURE THE PLAINTIFFS WHILE ACTING UNDER COLOR OF LAW ALSO IN THEIR PERSONAL AND OFFICIAL CAPACITY TO UNLAWFULLY TAKE POSSESSION OF OUR HOME WITH OUT LEGAL AUTHORITY IN DOING SO.

2. THE DEFENDANTS JUDGES DARLENE O' BREIN, ERANE WASHINGTON, ATTORNEY ZANITA CLIPPER, SARA GORMAN RAJON, KEVIN THOMSPON, DID WILLFULLY VIOLATE THE PLAINTIFFS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS TO THE 4TH 8TH 14TH AMENDMENT TO BE SAFE AND SECURE IN OUR HOME AND DUE PROCESS OF LAW TO BE FREE FROM CRUEL AND UNSUAL PUNISHMENT.
3. THE DEFENDANT ATTORNEY ZANITACLIPPER, KEVIN THOMPSON, DID WILLFULLY HAVE A MEETING IN MINDS TO CONSPIRE TO UNLAWFULLY TAKE POSSESSION OF MY HOME BY USING THREATENING AND INTIMIMADTING TACTICS WITH THE HELP OF THE SAID DEFENDANTS WASHTENAW COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENT, PITTSFEILD TOWNSHIP DEPARTMENT VIOLATING THE PLAINTIFFS 4TH 8TH 14TH AMENDMENT OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION.
4. DEFENDANTS ATTORNEYS ZANITA CLIPPER, SARA GORMAN. RAJON. KEVIN THOMPSON, DID WILLFULLY, BAND, CONSPIRE, TO EXTORT THE PLAINTIFF CHRISTINE THOMPSON FOR THE PROCEEDS OF HER HOME AND ATTORNEY FEES BY THE FALSICATION OF ENTER OFFICE DOCUMENTS, MAKING FALSE STATEMENTS,

5. DEFENDANTS POLICE OFFICERS STEPHEN ANDREWS, JIM MAUDLIN SGT HENRY FUSIK, LT. SEAN McCORMICK SHAWN BOOTH, MATTHEW HARSHBERGER, PITTSFEID TOWNSHIP POLICE DEPARTMENT, WASHTENAW COUNTY SHERIFF DEPARTMENT, SHERIFF JERRY CLAYTON, PROSECUTORS ERIC GUTENBURG, NIMISH GANTRA, CHRIS CHILDRESS, JUDGES JOESPH BURKE, ALLAN TRUESDELL, JUDGE CAROL KUHNE, DID WILLFULLY BAND, CONSPIRE TO INJURE THE PLAINTIFF CHRISTOPHER ROBINSON IN AN CRIMINAL PROCEEDING WITH OUT DUE PROCESS OF LAW THAT COST THE PLAINTIFF PHYSICAL AND MENTAL PAIN FROM BEING INCARCERATED FOR MANY YEARS WITHOUT CHARGES EVER BEING FILED NOR AN WARRANT TO SEIZE THE PLAINTIFF THROUGH HIS PRE TRIAL AND TRIAL PROCEEDINGS IN VIOLATION OF THE PLAINTIFF RIGHT OF THE 8TH AMENDMENT TO BE FREE FROM CRUEL AND UNUSAL PUNISHMENT MICHIGAN STATE POLICE. BRIGHTON AND OAK PARK MI, REFUSED TO ALLOW THE PLAINTIFFS TO FILE A CITIZEN COMPLAINT CONCERNING THEIR REAL PROPERTY AND SAFETY. ALSO DEFENDANT STATE TROOPER OF BRIGHTON KACHELSKI POLICE REPORT INCIDENT NO. 124427-22 FAILED TO INVESTIGATE PLAINTIFF BEING ASSULTED BY DEFENDANT POLICE OFFICER STEPHEN ANDREWS OF PITTSFIELD TOWNSHIP POLICE DEPARTMENT'

6. DEFENDANTS WASHTENAW COUNTY PROSECUTORS OFFICE, PROSECUTORS, JUDGES, PITTSFEILD TOWNSHIP POLICE OFFICERS ALL STATED IN THIS SUIT DID WILLFULLY, BAND, CONSPIRE TO RECONVICT AND SENTENCE THE PLINTIFF TO SILENCE HIM FROM , BAND, CONSPIRE TO RECONVICT AND SENTENCE THE PLINTIFF TO SILENCE HIM FROM EXERCISING HIS FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHT TO SPEAK OUT THAT DEFEDNANT STEPHEN ANDRES ASSULTED THE PLAINTIFF AND INJURING HIM INVIOATION OF THE PLAINTIFF RIGHTS TO THE 8TH 14TH AMENDMENT IN ABSENCE OF ALL JURIDICITION WHILE ACTING UNDER OF LAW AT ALL TIMES.

7.ALL SAID DEFENDANTS IN THIS CASE DID, WILLFULLY, BAND, CONPIRE, TO VIOLATE THE PLAINTIFFS CHRISTOPHER ROBINSON, CHRISTINE THOMPSON, CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS OF THE 1ST 4TH 8TH 14TH AMEND MENT OUT OF MALICE TO DO EVIL AND HARM TO THE PLAINTIFFS OUT OF RETALIATION IN VIOLATION OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION ALSO INVADING THE PLAINTIFF PRIVACY BY INSTALLING HIDDEN CAMERAS IN OUR HOME AND WIRE TAPPING TRACKING OUR EVERY LOCATION IN ATTEMPTS TO GATE KEEP PLAINTIFFS FROM SPEAKING OUT TO THE COURTS AND PUBLIC EXSPOSING STATE AND LOCAL LAW OFFICALS WHO FEAR OF THE INTEGRITY OF THE POLICE FORCE AND JUDICAL SYSTEM OF WASHTENAW COUNTY WILL BE EXPOSED OF CORRUPTNESS THAT HAS BEEN GOING ON FOR SO LONG.

DAMAGES

1. THE PLAINTIFFS SUES ALL SAID DEFENDANTS IN THEIR PERSONAL AND OFFICAL CAPACTIES IN MONETARY, PUNITVE, COMPENSATORY, DAMAGES FOR THEIR WILLFUL CONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATIONS OF THE PLAINTIFFS AND WHAT EVER ELSE THIS COURT FITS TO BE JUST DEEMED AND PROPER.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

THE SAID PLAINTIFFS PRAY THAT THIS COURT ISSUE AN PRELIMINARY AND PERMANENT RESTRAINING ORDER AND INJUCTION THAT THE PLAINTIFFF SAFTEY AND LIVES WONT BE AT DANGER IN THE HANDS OF ALL OF THE SAID DEFENDANTS. THAT THIS COURT IN REM HAND DOWN A ORDER FOR THE PLAINTIFF CHRISTINE THOMPSON WHO IS THE SOLE OWNER OF HER HOME TO BE ALE TO SELL HER HOME WITH OUT INTERFERENCE FROM THE SAID DEFENDANTS AND ORDER THE SAID DEFENDANTS TO STOP THREATENING THE 70 YEAR OLD PLAINTIFF AND SON PLAINTIFF CHRISTOPHER ROBINSON TO BE ARRESTED FROM THEIR HOME WHO PLAINTIFF CHRISTINE THOMPSON HOLDS TITLE TO INVADING OUR PROPERTY WITH THREATS OF FORCE WITH OUT A SEARCH WARRANT OR WARRANT IN ATTEMPTS TO FORCE

LIST OF DEFENDANTS

1, JUDGE DARLENE O; BREIN, CAROL KUHNKE, 101 EAST HURON STREET ANN ARBOR MICHIGAN 48104.

2, ERANE WASHINGTON, 7200 SOUTH HORON RIVER DRIVE YPSILANTI MICHIGAN 48197.

3, SARA GORMAN RAJON, 37887 WEST TWELVE MILE ROAD FARMINGTON HILLS, MICHIGAN 48331

4, ZANITA CLIPPER, P.O. BOX 354 LINCOLN PARK, MICHIGAN 48146

5, KEVIN V. THOMPSON P.O. BOX 354 LINCOLN PARK, MICHIGAN 48146

6. STEPHEN ANDREWS, JIM MAUDLIN, HENRY FUSIK, SEAN McCORMICK, MATTHEW HARSHBERGER, 6227 W, MICHIGAN AVE ANN ARBOR MICHIGAN 48108

7. WASHTENAW COUNTY SHERIFF JERRY CLAYTON, WASHTENAW COUNTY SHERIFF DEPARTMENT, 2201 HOGBACK ROAD ANN ARBOR MICHIGAN 48105

8,WASHTTENAW COUNTY SHERIFF DEPARTMENT STATION TWO
2201 HOG BACK ROAD ANN ARBOR MICHIGAN 48105

9, WASHTENAW COUNTY PROSECUTORS OFFICEAND
PROSECUTORS 200 NORTH MAIN STREET ANN ARBOR
MICHIGAN48104

10,MICHIGAN STATE POLICE BRIGHTON AND TROOPER
KACHELSKI4337 BUNOROAD, BRIGHTON MICHIGAN 48114

11,OAK PARK STATE POLICE 14350 WEST 10 MILE RD, OAK PARK,
MICHIGAN,

12, JOESPH F. BURKE, ALLAN THOMAS TRUESDELL 4133
WASHTENAW AVE, ANN ARBOR MICHIGAN